



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/037,334	11/09/2001	Hidekazu Nakai	275788US6	5902
22850	22850 7590 12/22/2005		EXAMINER	
OBLON, SPIVAK, MCCLELLAND, MAIER & NEUSTADT, P.C. 1940 DUKE STREET ALEXANDRIA, VA 22314			SCUDERI, PHILIP S	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2153	

DATE MAILED: 12/22/2005

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Summary	10/037,334	NAKAI, HIDEKAZU			
	Examiner C. Conduct	Art Unit			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	Philip S. Scuderi	2153			
Period for Reply					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION B6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the cause the application to become ABANDONE	l. ely filed the mailing date of this communication. O (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 25 Oc	<u>ctober 2005</u> .				
· <u> </u>	·—				
	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is				
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.					
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.					
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-6</u> is/are rejected.		•			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	- clastica resultance				
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Application Papers					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See	37 CFR 1.85(a).			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).					
11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form PTO-152.			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of:					
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No					
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage					
application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.					
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 		atent Application (PTO-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/037,334 Page 2

Art Unit: 2153

DETAILED ACTION

1. This office action is in response to applicant's amendment filed 10/25/2005. Claims 1-6 are pending.

Claim Objections

2. Claim 6 remains objected to for minor informalities. The examiner suggests the following: "a readout step of reading out the program information, from a recording medium having a first storage region in which wherein the program information describing describes a procedure ...".

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 3. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.
- 4. Claim 6 recites the limitation "the program information" in line 2. There is insufficient antecedent basis for this limitation in the claim. The examiner's above suggestion would correct this problem.

Response to Arguments

- 5. Applicant's arguments, filed 10/25/2005, with respect to Sato not disclosing all the features recited in claim 1, have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.
- 6. Applicant contends that Sato does not teach "a first storage region in which program information is stored with the program information describing a procedure for executing a process

Application/Control Number: 10/037,334

Art Unit: 2153

for establishing a connection to a server over a network and downloading data from the server" or

Page 3

"a second storage region into which the data can be written". The examiner respectfully disagrees.

7. Storage region 200b is a first storage region in which program information for executing a

process for establishing a connection over a network is stored. "The various types of applications

stored in the fixed data unit 200b are ... programs for the network connection" (Sato, paragraph

0042).

8. The network connection is used to connect to server 101 over network 100 and download

data from the server. "[T]he network connection program ... connects to the server 101 through the

network 100" and "receives from the server 101 various content data and other such updated

information" (Sato, paragraph 0052).

9. Storage region 200d is a second storage region into which the data can be written. "[T]he

central processing unit writes the downloaded various content data onto the read-capable write-

capable unit 200d' (Sato, paragraph 0056).

10. Applicant's arguments, filed 10/25/2005, in response to the rejection of claims 1-6 over

Kupka in view of Hosoe have been fully considered and are persuasive. The rejection of claims 1-6

over Kupka in view of Hosoe has been withdrawn.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

11. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent

by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.

- 12. Claims 1 and 6 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Sato et al. (U.S. 2002/0055969, hereinafter "Sato").
- 13. In considering claim 1, Sato discloses a recording medium [fig. 3A #200], having:

 a first storage region [fig. 3A #200b] in which program information describing a procedure
 for executing a process for establishing a connection to a predetermined server over a
 predetermined communication network [paragraph 0042 lines 5-10] and downloading data from the
 connected server is stored [paragraph 0049]; and

a second storage region [fig. 3A #200d] into which the data can be written [paragraph 0049].

14. In considering claim 6, Sato discloses a download method comprising:

a readout step of reading out [paragraph 0056 lines 1-6], from a recording medium [fig. 3A #200] having a first storage region [fig. 3A #200b] in which program information describing a procedure for executing a process for establishing a connection to a predetermined network [paragraph 0042 lines 5-10] and downloading data from the connected server is stored [paragraph 0049] and a second storage region [fig. 3A #200d] into which the data can be written [paragraph 0049], the program information comprising:

an access step of accessing said server in accordance with the read out program information [paragraph 0056 lines 9-16];

Application/Control Number: 10/037,334

Art Unit: 2153

a download step of downloading required information from said server accessed in accordance with the read out program information [paragraph 0056 lines 9-16]; and a storage control step of storing the data acquired by the downloading into said second storage area of said storage medium [paragraph 0056 lines 9-16].

Page 5

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 15. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 16. Claims 2-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sato in view of Hosoe (U.S. 6,047,376).
- 17. In considering claim 2, Sato discloses the recording medium applied to claim 1. Sato does not disclose a third storage region having an ID unique to said recording medium stored therein.

 Nonetheless, such a feature was well known in the art, as evidenced by Hosoe. In a similar art Hosoe discloses a medium [fig. 2 #35] for downloading data [fig. 3 #S11] comprising a server access program [fig. 2 (Server Access Authentication Program)] and a storage region having an ID unique to the medium stored therein [fig. 8 (Medium ID), col. 15 lines 12-17].
- 18. Given the teachings of Hosoe it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide the recording medium with a third storage region having an ID unique to the recording

Application/Control Number: 10/037,334 Page 6

Art Unit: 2153

medium, thereby ensuring that only clients having a legal medium are given server access [Hosoe col. 2 lines 65-67].

- 19. In considering claim 3, Sato-Hosoe teaches the recording medium applied to claim 2. Hosoe further discloses that the ID is used to ensure that only clients that have paid for the medium can access the server [col. 2 lines 65-67, col. 2 line 66 col. 3 line 1]. Given the further teachings of Hosoe, it would have been obvious to ensure that only clients that have paid for the medium can access the server (i.e. manage accounting data), thereby helping to prevent profit loss.
- 20. In considering claim 4, Sato-Hosoe teaches the recording medium applied to claim 2. Sato discloses that various content data can be downloaded [paragraph 0056 lines 9-16]. Hosoe further discloses that the ID can be utilized by the server to identify the type of service provided [see fig. 9]. There is no reason to believe that the ID could not also be utilized to identify a type of the download data.
- 21. In considering claim 5, Sato-Hosoe teaches the recording medium applied to claim 2. Sato discloses that various content data can be downloaded [i.e. information from different sources (e.g. different sections of memory), paragraph 0056 lines 9-16]. Hosoe further discloses that the ID can be utilized by the server to identify the type of service provided [see fig. 9]. There is no reason to believe that the ID could not also be utilized to identify a supply source for the download.

Conclusion

Application/Control Number: 10/037,334

Art Unit: 2153

22. THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time

Page 7

policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

23. A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS

from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the

mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the

THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on

the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be

calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory

period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

24. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner

should be directed to Philip S. Scuderi whose telephone number is (571) 272-5865. The examiner

can normally be reached on Monday-Friday 9:00 am - 5:30 pm.

25. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Glenton B. Burgess can be reached on (571) 272-3949. The fax phone number for the organization

where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

26. Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system,

see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system,

contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

PSS

SUPERVION B. BURGESS
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2100